

DRAFT Project Eligibility Criteria and Prioritization Factors For the 2010-2013 Development STIP and Construction STIP

Eligibility Criteria

Development STIP Major projects	Construction STIP*		
	Modernization projects	Preservation projects	Bridge replacement/rehabilitation projects
<p>Development work on major projects may be eligible for funding if it:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Supports the definition of “Development STIP” approved by the Oregon Transportation Commission ◆ Addresses an unmet transportation need in the applicable acknowledged transportation system plan(s) (TSP) or, in the absence of an applicable acknowledged TSP(s), the applicable acknowledged comprehensive plan and any applicable adopted TSP(s). or Addresses project need, mode, function and general location for a transportation need identified in an acknowledged TSP. or Is identified as a project of statewide significance or as a federal discretionary project. ◆ Has funding adequate to complete the identified milestone.¹ 	<p>Modernization projects may be eligible for funding if they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Are consistent with the applicable acknowledged transportation system plan (TSP) or, in the absence of an applicable acknowledged TSP, the applicable acknowledged comprehensive plan and any applicable adopted TSP.⁵ ◆ Are consistent with the Oregon Highway Plan policy on Major Improvements (Policy 1G, Action 1.G.1), where applicable.⁶ 	<p>Pavement Preservation projects may be eligible for funding if they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Are identified through the Pavement Management System process.¹² 	<p>Bridge replacement and rehabilitation projects may be eligible for funding if they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Are identified through the Bridge Management System process.¹⁶ ◆ Are improvements or work needed to rebuild or extend the service life of existing bridges and structures (includes replacement of an existing bridge).

* To the extent that legislative action (e.g., HB 2041) applies, the criteria in the legislation will control in the event of a conflict.

**Prioritization Factors
Used to Select Projects for Funding from the Pool of Eligible Projects**

<p align="center">Development STIP Major projects</p>	<p align="center">Construction STIP</p>		
	<p align="center">Modernization projects</p>	<p align="center">Preservation projects</p>	<p align="center">Bridge replacement/rehabilitation projects</p>
<p>Priority shall be given to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ D-STIP project suitability (an assessment of the level of work completed to achieve the planned D-STIP milestone). ◆ Projects that best support the policies of the Oregon Highway Plan.² ◆ Projects that have already completed one or more D-STIP milestones. ◆ Projects that have funding identified for development or construction³ ◆ Major Modernization Projects that leverage other funds and public benefits.⁴ 	<p>Priority shall be given to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Project readiness (an assessment of the likelihood of a project getting to construction in the timeframe contemplated).⁷ ◆ Projects that best support the policies of the Oregon Highway Plan.⁸ ◆ Projects that support freight mobility.⁹ ◆ Projects that leverage other funds and public benefits.¹⁰ ◆ Class 1 and 3 projects that have completed an environmental milestone of a Record of Decision (ROD) or Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) (see footnote for Class 2 projects).¹¹ 	<p>Priority shall be given to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Project readiness (an assessment of the likelihood of a project getting to construction in the timeframe contemplated).¹³ ◆ Projects that best support the policies of the Oregon Highway Plan.¹⁴ ◆ Projects that leverage other funds and public benefits.¹⁵ 	<p>Priority shall be given to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Projects that support the approved Bridge Options Report. (This prioritization factor is not intended to limit bridge projects to those identified in the Bridge Options Report, but to give priority to those identified in the report.)¹⁷ ◆ Projects that best support the policies of the Oregon Highway Plan.¹⁸ ◆ Projects that support freight mobility.¹⁹ ◆ Project readiness (an assessment of the likelihood of a project getting to construction in the timeframe contemplated).²⁰ ◆ Projects that leverage other funds and public benefits.²¹

1 **Project Eligibility Criteria and Prioritization Factors**
2 **Process Description and Guidance**
3 **For the 2010-2013 Development STIP and Construction STIP**
4

5 **I. Introduction**
6

7 The Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) approved the Project Eligibility Criteria and
8 Prioritization Factors to assist Area Commissions on Transportation (ACTs), Metropolitan
9 Planning Organizations (MPOs), or regional or statewide advisory groups advising the OTC on
10 the selection of Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) projects. The document
11 gives basic definitions and funding information and provides guidance pertaining to roles and
12 responsibilities, project selection and documentation. More information about the ACT process,
13 advisory committees, Oregon transportation management systems, other STIP programs and
14 funding is available on the Internet (see Appendix A).
15

16 The OTC establishes program goals, funding levels and regional funding distribution at the start
17 of each two-year STIP update. Those policy decisions are made separate from these eligibility
18 criteria and prioritization factors and are not part of this document. (See Appendix B for the
19 decision-making process.)
20

21 The OTC's decisions reflect the goals and priorities adopted in the Oregon Transportation Plan
22 (OTP). The OTP sets forth policies that guide decisions and actions of the agency, including
23 project and program funding decisions. The OTP's goals are:

- 24 1. Mobility and Accessibility
- 25 2. Management of the system
- 26 3. Economic Vitality
- 27 4. Sustainability
- 28 5. Safety and Security
- 29 6. Funding the Transportation System
- 30 7. Coordination, Communication, and Cooperation

31 These goals recognize the importance of providing an efficient, optimized, safe, secure, and
32 well-integrated multimodal transportation system that allows for access and connectivity
33 throughout the state to enable a diverse economy while not compromising the ability of future
34 generations to meet their needs. These goals are implemented through the Oregon Highway
35 Plan (OHP) and the other modal plans. This document sets forth criteria in compliance with the
36 OHP to be utilized in the selection and prioritization of transportation projects for the D-STIP,
37 and the C-STIP modernization, preservation, and bridge programs.
38

39 **A. Roles and Responsibilities**
40

41 The OTC will make the final selections for all projects included in the STIP. The Commission
42 will consider the advice and recommendations received from ACTs, MPOs, and regional or
43 statewide advisory groups. ODOT will provide tools necessary to enable an ACT to carry out its
44 responsibilities under these criteria. Geographic areas that do not have an ACT must adhere to
45 the same standards of accountability as ACTs (*Policy on Formation and Operation of the Area*
46 *Commissions on Transportation, Section VI, Basis for Decision Making*) and demonstrate to the
47 OTC that recommendations were developed in accordance with these criteria and factors.
48 ODOT region staff will facilitate this by preparing project summary reports that describe the
49 utilization of the criteria in project selection by the region, ACTs, and/or other groups. They
50 may also utilize or include with the summary reports any other information developed for project

1 analysis or comparison. The reports supplied by each region will be provided to the OTC with
2 the draft STIP. In making final project selections, the OTC will ensure that ACTs, MPOs and
3 regional or statewide advisory groups have based their considerations on the criteria and will
4 ensure projects are distributed according to the funding allocations approved by the OTC for the
5 2008–2011 STIP.

6
7 In making decisions, the OTC applies both regional and statewide perspective, optimizes
8 system effectiveness in decisions for the state system and strives to develop and operate an
9 integrated intermodal transportation system that facilitates the safe, efficient and economic
10 movement of people and goods. (*Policy on Formation and Operation of the Area Commissions*
11 *on Transportation*, Section III. Authority)

12 13 **B. Definitions**

14
15 STIP includes both the Development and Construction sections of the Statewide Transportation
16 Improvement Program. The D-STIP houses projects that require more than 4 years to develop
17 or for which construction funding needs to be obtained. Projects that can complete the
18 development process and be ready for bid within 4 years or less may be placed directly into the
19 C-STIP.

20 21 Development STIP (D-STIP)

22
23 The Oregon Transportation Commission approved the following definition for the D-STIP:

24
25 *Projects approved and funded for development through specific milestones and within*
26 *specific timeframes, which include the following characteristics:*

- 27
28 A. *Projects approved for funding through specific milestones such as National*
29 *Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) design-level environmental documents,*
30 *right of way acquisition, and final plans; or*
31
32 B. *Projects for which needed improvements have been identified but a final*
33 *solution either has not been determined or needs further design and analysis.*

34
35 *The types of projects that tend to have one or more of the above characteristics include*
36 *statewide significant projects, federal earmark or demonstration projects, modernization*
37 *or major bridge replacement projects, and discretionary projects (projects eligible to*
38 *receive federal discretionary funds).*

39 40 Construction STIP (C-STIP)

41
42 The C-STIP identifies project scheduling and funding for the state's transportation preservation
43 and capital improvement program for a four-year construction period. This program meets the
44 requirements of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act – a Legacy
45 for Users (SAFETEA-LU), the federal act that provides funds to states for transportation
46 projects. For application of these criteria and prioritization factors, C-STIP means
47 Modernization, Preservation and Bridge projects.

48 49 Other STIP Programs

1 Other STIP programs (examples include Safety, Bicycle/Pedestrian, Transit, Congestion
2 Mitigation/Air Quality Improvement, Transportation Enhancement, and Scenic Byways) are not
3 addressed in this document. More information about programs funded in the STIP is available
4 in the *Draft 2008-2011 STIP*.

6 **C. Project Selection**

8 Eligibility Criteria and Prioritization Factors have been developed for both the Development
9 STIP (D-STIP) and the Construction STIP (C-STIP). ACTs, MPOs and others (including
10 participants where an ACT does not exist) shall apply both regional and statewide perspectives
11 in making their recommendations. The Commission anticipates that most projects considered by
12 ACTs, MPOs and regional or statewide advisory groups would be the outcomes of planning and
13 the transportation management systems maintained by ODOT. ODOT Region staff shall assist
14 the ACT in developing recommendations as described in the *Policy on Formation and Operation*
15 *of the ACTS*, Section II. D, Role of ODOT Staff.

17 ACTs, MPOs and regional or statewide advisory groups should use this document as a guide
18 when they evaluate projects for the STIP on the state highway system and for off-system
19 projects that support implementation of the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), in accordance with
20 Policy 2B: off-system improvements. Projects recommended for funding in the STIP should
21 have consistent application of the project eligibility criteria and prioritizing factors. ACTs, MPOs
22 and regional or statewide advisory groups may use additional criteria to select and rank projects
23 provided the criteria are consistent with the project eligibility criteria and prioritization factors
24 adopted by the OTC. If requested, ODOT staff will provide a model to assist with project
25 ranking. This process recognizes regional differences and is consistent with the *Policy on*
26 *Formation and Operation of the Area Commissions on Transportation*, Section VI, Basis for
27 Decision-making.

29 **In MPO areas designated as Transportation Management Areas (TMA)**, all projects using
30 federal regulations title 23 (23 CFR) or Federal Transit Act funds, shall be prioritized for
31 programming in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) from an approved Regional
32 Transportation Plan by the MPO in consultation with the State and transit operators. The State,
33 MPO and transit operators jointly program the prioritized projects. Should funding conflicts arise
34 within a program year, projects on the NHS and projects funded under the Bridge and Interstate
35 Maintenance programs shall be selected by the State, in cooperation with the MPO, from the
36 approved metropolitan TIP. Other projects utilizing federal funds shall be selected by the MPO
37 in cooperation with the State and transit operators.

40 **In MPO areas not designated as TMAs**, projects using federal title 23 or Federal Transit Act
41 funds, other than Federal Lands Highways program funds, shall be selected by the State and/or
42 the transit operator, in cooperation with the MPO, from the approved metropolitan Regional
43 Transportation Plan.

45 **Outside MPO areas**, transportation projects undertaken on the NHS and projects funded under
46 the Bridge and Interstate Maintenance programs will be selected by the State in consultation
47 with the affected local officials. Other transportation projects undertaken with funds
48 administered by FHWA, other than federal lands highway projects, shall be selected by the
49 State in cooperation with the affected local officials and projects undertaken with Federal Transit
50 Act funds shall be selected by the State in cooperation with the appropriate affected local
51 officials and transit operators.

1
2 ACTs and MPOs should consult with each other during their STIP and MTIP development
3 processes to achieve a coordination of projects wherever possible. Where ACT and MPO
4 boundaries overlap, a higher level of clearly defined coordination is needed. Where this occurs,
5 the MPO and ACT should jointly agree on a process for maintaining consistency between ACT
6 recommendations and the MPO Plan and MTIP (*Policy on Formation and Operation of the Area*
7 *Commissions on Transportation*, Section VII. G, Coordination).
8

9 Project Eligibility Criteria

10
11 ACTs, MPOs, or regional or statewide advisory groups advising the OTC on the selection of
12 STIP projects for funding on the state highway system or for off-system projects that support
13 implementation of the OHP shall apply the project eligibility criteria. The project eligibility criteria
14 are a first screen so that additional efforts can be focused to determine which projects they will
15 evaluate further for funding. The eligibility criteria are not listed in any particular order. Projects
16 must satisfy these criteria, at a minimum, before they are given further consideration.
17

18 Prioritization Factors

19
20 The prioritization factors are to be used to ensure consistent consideration of the relative merits
21 of projects by ACTs, MPOs and regional or statewide advisory groups. With the exception of
22 project readiness which shall have greater weight, the prioritization factors are not listed in any
23 particular order and do not have any implied weight. To provide for regional differences, ACTs,
24 MPOs and regional or statewide advisory groups may use additional factors to rank projects
25 provided the factors are consistent with the factors adopted by the OTC. If an ACT, MPO or
26 regional or statewide advisory group chooses to use additional prioritization factors, they must
27 inform those developing project proposals about the factors prior to the beginning of the project
28 submittal period. When developing a tool to evaluate OHP policies, OHP Appendix A2 provides
29 definitional information to facilitate shared understanding of the goals, policies and actions of the
30 OHP policy element.
31

32 **D. Project Documentation**

33
34 ACTs, MPOs and regional or statewide advisory groups making recommendations to the OTC
35 shall document the analysis used to develop recommendations. The supporting information
36 should include the following:

- 37 1. Project description
- 38 2. Project justification
 - 39 ♦ Identify the planning history
 - 40 ♦ As applicable, describe information provided from the pavements or bridge
 - 41 management system. If the recommendation varies from the prioritization
 - 42 identified by the management system, describe the process used to reach that
 - 43 recommendation.
 - 44 ♦ Describe how this project supports OHP policies (Table 1).
 - 45 ♦ Provide an assessment of the likelihood of the project getting to construction in
 - 46 the timeframe contemplated
 - 47 ♦ Provide supplementary project information if the project leverages additional
 - 48 funding or community benefit
- 49 3. Applicable additional information

50

1 **E. Funding**

2

3 As required by federal regulations (23 CFR Part 450) the C-STIP is financially constrained by
4 federal fiscal year (October-September). The Eligibility Criteria and Prioritization Factors
5 defined in this document apply to projects that implement current revenue sources. If more
6 funding becomes available, it will be allocated in adherence to any additional funding or
7 selection criteria attached to those new funds.

8

9 The STIP represents multiple funding categories and each category has limits as to how the
10 funding can be obligated. STIP projects must meet the funding source limitations established
11 by state or federal regulations and cannot be selected without looking at those limitations. The
12 D-STIP will be funded with the same funding sources as the C-STIP and the total funds
13 committed to the D-STIP may vary. Funding of the D-STIP may be impacted by several factors,
14 including the following: OTC selection of projects of statewide importance, federal earmarks
15 and discretionary projects, federal and state restrictions on the use of available funds, and the
16 Regional equity distribution of Modernization funds (ORS 366.507).

17

18 Federal discretionary projects

19

20 Federal discretionary projects are a part of federal appropriations or transportation funding
21 legislation. The Oregon Department of Transportation, with direction from the Oregon
22 Transportation Commission, developed guidelines to use in deciding which projects should be
23 submitted as earmark proposals in federal legislation for the reauthorization of transportation
24 funding. The projects are categorized as low or medium risk and can be completed over the life
25 of the federal transportation funding bill. ODOT follows these guidelines for earmark projects
26 and submits them to the Oregon Congressional Delegation for consideration during the federal
27 budget process. Local jurisdictions and proponents that pursue earmark funding for projects not
28 submitted by ODOT or supported by the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) are solely
29 responsible for the required matching funds or any shortfalls.

30

31 The OTC recognizes that there may be unique circumstances in which proponents have been
32 successful in obtaining federal discretionary projects that need to be placed in the STIP. These
33 can be brought to the OTC as possible amendments to the STIP provided they meet the
34 eligibility criteria and the match requirements as noted above.

35

36 **II. Development STIP (D-STIP)**

37

38 **A. Introduction to the D-STIP**

39

40 The Oregon Transportation Commission will make the final selections for all D-STIP projects
41 and will apply a statewide perspective to the proposed list of projects, giving highest priority to
42 OTC approved federal discretionary projects that have funding secured through federal
43 legislation.

44

45 It will be important to clearly articulate the rationale and need of a D-STIP project in order to
46 help manage expectations and potential next steps. D-STIP projects will be consistent with
47 statewide policies and may be identified by the state management systems or in one or more
48 planning documents. Planning documents may include system-level plans such as
49 transportation system plans, regional transportation plans, or comprehensive plans, or facility-
50 level plans such as corridor plans, refinement plans, or interchange area management plans.

1 Appendix B illustrates the process that leads to approval of the Final STIP and where plans fit in
2 the process. Additionally, the OTC may choose to fund development work on projects of
3 statewide significance in the D-STIP. The D-STIP includes projects approved and funded for
4 development through specific milestones for planning, environmental or project development
5 activities and within specific timeframes.

6
7 Projects often begin in the D-STIP when they are complex projects that will take more than four
8 years to go to construction or when the appropriate transportation solution is not yet identified.
9 Project choices should address points obstructed by congestion, support regional and local land
10 use plans, and assist in job development or retention.

11
12 The following should be considered when applying the Eligibility Criteria and Prioritization
13 Factors:

- 14
15 ♦ A new alignment will be selected for one or several features in the refinement plan.
16 Project specific refinement plans may be funded in the D-STIP as needed to resolve
17 need, function, mode and general location decisions that could not be made during
18 system plan or corridor plan development. In circumstances where these decisions
19 have already been made, the goal of refinement planning will be to develop a
20 specific solution or a range of solutions to the problems(s) that support the next
21 appropriate project development step.
- 22 ♦ Rapid development is occurring in the area, making corridor preservation critical.
- 23 ♦ Issues needing resolution have a high priority and solutions are likely to be funded in
24 the near future.
- 25 ♦ The highway segment is very sensitive environmentally, and a strategy for the whole
26 segment needs to be approved before work on individual elements can commence.
27 For example, addressing land use to help resolve inconsistencies with planned
28 transportation facilities; planning for compatible land uses along state highways.
- 29 ♦ Public pressure for a sustainable decision is high.

30
31 Selection of D-STIP projects requires application of the D-STIP definition approved by the OTC.
32 D-STIP projects generally fall into the following three categories: federal discretionary projects
33 (earmarks), statewide significant projects, and modernization or major bridge replacement
34 projects.

35 36 Statewide significant projects

37
38 Statewide significant projects are projects that require funding that cannot be achieved within
39 standard STIP allocations but are viewed by the OTC as projects of statewide significance and
40 can be selected by the OTC independent of the ACT process. Identified funds would be used to
41 either keep existing work on very large projects current, or to support development of very large
42 projects (for example, funding a new Environmental Impact Statement or updating an existing
43 EIS).

44 45 Modernization or major bridge replacement projects

46
47 Modernization or major bridge replacement projects are projects that have been approved and
48 funded for development through specific milestones but that cannot be constructed within the
49 four-year timeframe of the STIP and/or within the normal Region STIP allocations. These may
50 include shelf projects, which are high priority projects developed in anticipation of funding but

1 that have no funding identified for construction in the current STIP. Milestones include planning,
2 environmental and project development.

3
4 D-STIP Project Completion

5
6 ODOT and the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCDD) shall work with
7 affected cities and counties to obtain land use approvals needed to select a specific alignment.
8 The level of land use consistency required will depend on the environmental milestone being
9 completed.

10
11 Projects remain in the D-STIP until work required to meet the National Environmental Policy Act
12 (NEPA) is completed. NEPA classifications:

- 13 ♦ Class 1: Requires draft and final environmental impact statement (EIS). An EIS is
14 required for actions that significantly affect the environment.
- 15 ♦ Class 2: Categorical exclusion (neither an environmental assessment nor an
16 environmental impact statement is required). These actions do not individually or
17 cumulative have a significant environmental effect and are excluded from the
18 requirement to prepare an environmental assessment or environmental impact
19 statement.
- 20 ♦ Class 3: Requires environmental assessment (EA) or revised environmental
21 assessment. The environmental impact is not clearly established. All actions that
22 are not Class 1 or 2 fall into this classification. These actions require preparation of
23 an EA to determine the appropriate environmental document. If it is determined that
24 the action is likely to have a significant impact on the environment, the preparation of
25 an EIS will be required.

26
27 All Class 1 and 3 projects should be in the D-STIP until a final Record of Decision (ROD) or
28 Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been completed. By programming completion of
29 D-STIP milestones that follow a ROD or FONSI, the project delivery activity can continue
30 through right of way acquisition, advance plans, and/or plans specifications and estimates
31 (PS&E). The project could then be ready for inclusion in the C-STIP at the regular 2-year
32 update. Work on right of way, advance plans or PS&E may be conducted in either the D-STIP
33 or the C-STIP.

34
35 Although the primary purpose of the D-STIP is to develop projects for the C-STIP, inclusion in
36 the D-STIP does not guarantee funding for future D-STIP milestones or that a project will
37 automatically move into the C-STIP. Funding may not be available to construct the final solution
38 or the environmental document may identify the solution as a “No Build”. In addition, major
39 projects to be listed the C-STIP under the modernization, preservation, or state bridge programs
40 will require assessment to ensure that factors such as the problem statement, need, and project
41 analysis are still current and appropriate. If the results of a major project assessment are not
42 favorable, the project may not be selected for further development.

43
44 **B. Development STIP**

45
46 **B. 1. Development STIP Eligibility Criteria Footnotes**

47
48 ¹**D-STIP milestones**

49 D-STIP projects must have funding to complete the identified milestone; partial milestones or
50 those with no funding will not be programmed. D-STIP milestones, while not necessarily

- 1 sequential, include those listed below. Not all projects are required to complete all the
 2 milestones.
- 3 ♦ Project specific refinement plan completion
 - 4 ♦ Project specific refinement plan adoption
 - 5 ♦ Land use consistency/Statewide Goal Compliance. (Project is included in the
 6 acknowledged comprehensive plan or transportation system plan as a planned
 7 facility, which is a facility allowed by the plan and that is expected to be
 8 constructed within the next 20 years with available financial resources. This may
 9 include land use decisions that establish need, mode, function and general
 10 location.)
 - 11 ♦ Interchange Area Management Plan or Access Management Plan
 - 12 ♦ Location Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Record of Decision (ROD)
 - 13 ♦ Design EIS ROD
 - 14 ♦ Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)
 - 15 ♦ Right of way acquisition
 - 16 ♦ Advance plans (or any other applicable project development design milestone)
 - 17 ♦ Plans, specifications and estimates (PS&E)

18
 19 **B.2. Development STIP Prioritization Factors Footnotes**

20
 21 **²D-STIP Projects that Best Support the Oregon Highway Plan Policies**

22 The Oregon Highway Plan is available at: <http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/TP/orhwyplan.shtml>
 23 and a summary list of OHP goals and policies is provided in Table 1. All projects should be
 24 consistent with the OHP and this prioritization factor is to help choose among these projects.
 25 Not all projects will advance all OHP policies but a project that is strongly supportive of several
 26 OHP policies may be chosen over one that offers less support or supports fewer OHP policies.

27
 28 **³Funding for D-STIP Projects**

29 A funding scenario should be identified through construction, though not necessarily
 30 guaranteed. Congressional high priority projects would fall into this category.

31
 32 **⁴Leverage and Public Benefit for D-STIP Projects**

33 ACTs, MPOs and regional or statewide advisory groups should evaluate how proposed projects
 34 leverage additional funding or collateral community benefits and make wise and efficient use of
 35 infrastructure and natural resources. Those making project recommendations should pursue an
 36 agenda to accomplish leverage or community benefits although specific benefits might not
 37 always be known at the D-STIP stage. Examples of leverage and public benefits for D-STIP
 38 modernization projects could include where applicable, but are not limited to the following:

- 39 ♦ Other funding contributions, such as additional federal funds, local matching funds or
 40 provision of project right of way, private funding.
- 41 ♦ Bundling with other infrastructure projects (provided there is no adverse affect on
 42 project readiness).
- 43 ♦ Environmental enhancement, such as culvert replacement and improved drainage.
- 44 ♦ Transfer of jurisdiction to promote jurisdictional responsibility and coordination.
- 45 ♦ Leveraging additional funds that contribute to transportation system effectiveness,
 46 system operations, and revitalization of the downtown or main street, etc.
- 47 ♦ Direct benefits to multiple modes of travel, advancement of modal choice and
 48 intermodal activities. This would include local efforts to accommodate non-auto
 49 modal opportunities.
- 50

