

DRAFT
EUGENE – LANE COUNTY – SPRINGFIELD
SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED
COORDINATED TRANSPORTATION & LAND USE PLANNING

TASK I IN RESPONSE TO OAR 660-012-0016 (2) (b): INITIATE & ADOPT POST-ACKNOWLEDGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENT (PAPA) FOR TransPlan (TSP) PROJECT LIST AMENDMENTS

Consistency of the TSP with the MPO's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is required by the Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) as a result of adoption of the RTP. There are two avenues to achieving this consistency: either make a finding of consistency, or adopt amendments to the TSP to achieve consistency. Given changes to the November 2007 RTP fiscally constrained project lists, amendments to the TSP project list are required (Attachment 1 presents a summary analysis of the TPR-required consistency of TransPlan with the RTP). The goal of this task is TransPlan consistency with the RTP, via TSP project list amendments reflecting the RTP project list amendments approved by all jurisdictions in November, 2007. This work must be completed in 2008, no LCDC-approved work plan is required.

Coburg anticipates a finding of consistency for their TSP.

TASK II EVALUATE & REPORT ON LAND CONSERVATION & DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION (LCDC) APPROVED TransPlan ALTERNATIVE PERFORMANCE MEASURES AGAINST 2005 INTERIM BENCHMARKS

The May, 2001 LCDC order established alternative plan (TSP) performance measures and interim benchmarks every five years starting in 2005. The TPR states that the evaluation of performance measures against the interim benchmarks shall coincide with the federal plan update cycle. TransPlan is meeting or exceeding all performance measure interim benchmarks as of 2004/5. Attachment 2 summarizes the draft interim benchmark evaluation done to date. This work will be complete in mid-2008.

Below are the subsequent land use actions that will commence this year, based on the required implementation of House Bill 3337 (ORS 197.304), that will integrate land use and transportation and will include a planning horizon out-year consistent with the recently adopted RTP.

- **LAND USE PLANNING**
 - **ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS OF HOUSE BILL 3337**
 - **(2) UGBs**
 - **UPDATE METRO PLAN TO CONFORM TO (2) UGBs**

or

 - **DEVELOP TWO COMPREHENSIVE PLANS**
- **INFORMED BY LAND USE PLANNING SHOWN ABOVE**
 - **REVIEW/REVISE/UPDATE (as needed) TransPlan/LOCAL TSP**

or

 - **DEVELOP TWO (Eugene and Springfield) LOCAL TSPs**

Coburg anticipates completion of their local TSP update within the same time frame.
- **INFORMED BY LAND USE & LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING SHOWN ABOVE**
 - **DEFINE, DEVELOP & ADOPT REGIONAL TSP**

ATTACHMENT 1
TransPlan CONSISTENCY WITH
CENTRAL LANE MPO REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN
UNDER OREGON TRANSPORTATION PLANNING RULE

The Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) in 660-012-0016 *Coordination with Federally-Required Regional Transportation Plans in Metropolitan Areas* states in part that:

- (2) When an MPO adopts or amends a regional transportation plan that relates to compliance with this division, the affected local governments shall review the adopted plan or amendment and either:
 - (a) Make a finding that the proposed regional transportation plan amendment or update is consistent with the applicable provisions of adopted regional and local transportation system plan and comprehensive plan and compliant with applicable provisions of this division; or
 - (b) Adopt amendments to the relevant regional or local transportation system plan that make the regional transportation plan and the applicable transportation system plans consistent with one another and compliant with applicable provisions of this division.

TPR 660-012-0016 further states that:

- (3) Adoption or amendment of a regional transportation plan relates to compliance with this division for purposes of section (2) if it does one or more of the following:
 - (a) Changes plan policies;
 - (b) Adds or deletes a project from the list of planned transportation facilities, services or improvements or from the financially-constrained project list required by federal law;
 - (c) Modifies the general location of a planned transportation facility or improvement;
 - (d) Changes the functional classification of a transportation facility; or
 - (e) Changes the planning period or adopts or modifies the population or employment forecast or allocation upon which the plan is based.

Section 3 of 660-012-0016 thus establishes five tests for consistency of a TSP with an RTP. Evaluation of the July 2002 Eugene-Springfield-Lane County Transportation System Plan (TransPlan) for consistency with the November, 2007 Central Lane MPO RTP in light of these tests is presented in Table 1.

TPR 660-012-0016 (3)	TransPlan Consistency with RTP
(a) Changes plan policies	There have been no changes in RTP policies since the July, 2002 adoption of TransPlan
(b) Adds or deletes a project from the financially-constrained [RTP] project list	Additions and deletions of projects on the RTP project lists did occur with the November, 2007 adoption. TSP consistency can be addressed by amending the TransPlan project list to reflect the November, 2007 RTP financially-constrained project lists.
(c) Modifies the general location of a planned transportation facility or improvement	No change in November, 2007 RTP.
(d) Changes the functional classification of a transportation facility	No change in November, 2007 RTP.
(e) Changes the planning period or adopts or modifies the population or employment forecast or allocation upon which the plan is based	The November, 2007 RTP adjusted the planning period to 2031 and based the updated Plan on population and employment forecasts extrapolated to 2031 consistent with existing adopted population and employment forecasts. (The RTP did not adopt or modify population or employment forecasts already approved for the Central Lane area.) The TPR states, in 660-012-0016 (5) that "Adoption or amendment of a regional transportation plan that extends the planning period beyond that specified in the applicable acknowledged comprehensive plan or regional transportation system plan is consistent with the requirements of this rule where the following conditions are met: (a) The future year population and employment forecasts are consistent with those adopted by the relevant county or counties for the metropolitan area." The TPR provides additional detail on the development of "consistent" forecasts for an RTP planning period that extends beyond adopted forecast periods. This test was met with respect to RTP forecasts for the existing Eugene-Springfield UGB, and thus is consistent with the TPR and TransPlan.

In summary, adoption of the Central Lane MPO Regional Transportation Plan has triggered a need to amend the Eugene-Springfield-Lane Country Transportation System Plan (TransPlan) to bring the TransPlan project lists back into consistency with the RTP project lists. No other action is required to re-establish consistency between the two Plans.

Attachment 2
Summary of Draft Interim Benchmark Evaluation
is addressed as March 13, 2008 MPC packet item 4.a