

**CAC COMMENTS ON FY06 DRAFT UPWP**  
**April 6, 2005**

- ❖ Include information on alternative fuels – trends and impacts on transportation planning, etc.
- ❖ Need an introduction relating to community values – such as reducing VMT & congestion – and how the UPWP reflects them.
- ❖ Tie UPWP to other planning documents and indicate how the documents feed back to each other.
- ❖ Work to unify jurisdictions' project priorities and the consistency of priorities across jurisdictions/programs/etc., especially for alternative modes. There seems to be a lack of communication between the jurisdictions' project priorities.
- ❖ Communicate to the public better; use the CAC to communicate better with the public.
- ❖ Not enough background time/information for the CAC to make substantive comments this time around (however, do appreciate MPC's gesture for participation).
- ❖ Add a component for planning workforce training in transportation.
- ❖ Have an independent/external performance auditor look at objectives 1-7 (page 2 of UPWP). Do a rigorous analysis of the performance for purposes of evaluation and accountability. Grade and assess different projects and decide what should be continued and what should be changed.
- ❖ Prioritize the various work activities; prioritize tasks and products under each work element. Give some idea of what is most important to accomplish during the Fiscal Year.
- ❖ Put documents on CD-ROM for distribution to the public; make UPWP and other MPO documents more accessible to the public.
- ❖ The document makes me realize why there is apathy, lack of trust in government. Very difficult to read & understand. Want to know what we are doing and what is working, what is not working.
- ❖ Rewrite the public involvement section (Work Element #6). Start with the CAC. The current draft is a boilerplate and needs to recognize the special challenges of public participation in transportation.